

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES BY ARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FOR DAY 150 Ald Roy 1450 Ald Roy 1450 Www.lspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/062,484	02/05/2002	Ching-Chuan Hsieh	0941-0401P-SP	2817
2292	7590 02/23/2006		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH	FIELDS, COURTNEY D		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2137	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/062,484	HSIEH ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Courtney D. Fields	2137		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or teeply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I.  lely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 November 2005</u> .				
′=	•	is action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims				
5) □ 6) ☑ 7) □ 8) □ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r.			
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a second and any objection to the second and are also as a second are a second are also as a second are a second are also as a	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
•	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		, (6.16.11 ) 762.		
12)⊠ a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage		
	•				
Attachment					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/062,484 Page 2

Art Unit: 2137

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-2,4-5,9, and 12-16 have been amended.

2. Claims 1-16 are pending.

## **Priority**

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

# Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 9 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection, Fang et al. (US Patent No. 6,240,512).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 1 and 9 are deemed indefinite because it is unclear to the Examiner how "a new sign-on information is related to successfully signs on to the application program server after signing on to the application program server". Please clarify.

Application/Control Number: 10/062,484 Page 3

Art Unit: 2137

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bones et al. (Pub No. 2002/0078386) in view of Fang et al. (US Patent No. 6,240,512).

Referring to the rejection of claims 1 and 9, Bones et al. discloses a single signon system and method for a sign-on process to remotely operate an application program via a network, the single sign-on system comprising:

an application program server for saving the application program, at least one client computer connected to the application program server via the network, each of which receives sign-on information, operating the application program by signing on to the application program server with the sign-on information (See page 2, Section 0022)

a single sign-on server connected to the client computer, the single sign-on server for receiving and saving the sign-on information to the client computer when the client computer signs on the application program server (See page 2, Section 0024)

and updating the sign-on information saved in the single sign-on server by sending the sign-on information to the single sign-on server (See page 5, Section 0059)

However, Bones et al. fail to explicitly disclose sending new sign-on information which successfully signs on to the application program server after signing on to the application program server. As per claims 1 and 9, Fang et al. discloses a method and

· Art Unit: 2137

apparatus for implementing a single sign-on mechanism that coordinates logons in a distributed computer network. Fang et al. further discloses within the single sign-on mechanism, information used to sign on to applications are kept in two separate databases. The first database is the PKM (Personal Key Manager) which keeps user configuration information. The second database is the CIM (Configuration Information Manager which is a local database that provides single sign-on support for each client machine. (See Column 5, lines 14-27) The personal key manager enables a single signon user to manage all the passwords the user posses in a secure environment. This allows the user's single sign-on information to be pre-saved for each application within the PKM database. Using a GUI interface, the user creates a target (user ID) which corresponds to the real target to which a user can logon, allowing the user to create as many or as few targets. The single sign-on framework within a PKM application programming interface allows the user to create a new target, update to a target's data, query a target's information, and delete an existing target. (See Column 5, lines 43-56) Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Bones et al.'s single-sign on method with Fang et al.'s single-sign on master key mechanism. Motivation for such an implementation would enable the user's pre-saved sign-on information (storing target logon) to automatically sign on to a application program because the single sign-on mechanism securely retrieves all the passwords for a user and automatically issues a new sign-on to each system/application the user is authorized to access (See Column 2, lines 32-40).

Art Unit: 2137

Referring to the rejection of claims 2 and 10, (Bones et al. as modified) discloses the claimed limitation wherein the client computer comprises:

an application program module for signing on to the application program server with the sign-on information and operating the application program and a single sign-on module for receiving the sign-on information from the single sing-on server, sending the sign-on information to the application program module (See Bones, page 2, Section 0022)

and sending the new sign-on information to the single sign-on server computer when the application program module signs on to the application program server (See Fang et al., Column 5, lines 43-56)

Referring to the rejection of claims 3 and 11, (Bones et al. as modified) discloses the claimed limitation wherein the application program module further comprises a window-based interface (See Bones et al., page 3, Section 0028, page 4, Section 0044))

Referring to the rejection of claims 4 and 12, (Bones et al. as modified) discloses the claimed limitation wherein the new sign-on information comprises a sign-on password (See Fang et al., Column 6, lines 43-56)

Referring to the rejection of claims 5 and 13, (Bones et al. as modified) discloses the claimed limitation wherein the new sign-on information comprises a sign-on account (See Fang et al., Column 7, lines 29-42)

Art Unit: 2137

Referring to the rejection of claims 6 and 14, (Bones et al. as modified) discloses the claimed limitation wherein the network is a private network (See Bones et al., page 2, Section 0023)

Page 6

Referring to the rejection of claims 7 and 15, (Bones et al. as modified) discloses the claimed limitation wherein the network is a local area network (LAN) (See Bones et al., page 2, Section 0023)

Referring to the rejection of claims 8 and 16, (Bones et al. as modified) discloses the claimed limitation wherein the network is a wide area network (WAN) (See Bones et al., page 2, Section 0023)

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/062,484 Page 7

Art Unit: 2137

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Courtney D. Fields whose telephone number is 571-272-3871. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs. 6:00 - 4:00 pm; off every Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on 571-272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

cdf

February 20, 2006

MAITHEW SMITHERS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Art Unit 1137